Inventors:

Lukas P.P.P. Van Giriikken, et al.

App. No.: Filed:

09/300,540 April 27, 1999 JUL 2 2 1999 ELE

Atty Dkt. 54355/253032 Client Ref: MAG-005

Title:

Method for Storing Multiple Levels of Design Data in a Common Database

VERIFIED STATEMENT (DECLARATION) CLAIMING SMALL ENTITY STATUS (37 CFR 1.9(d) and 1.27(c)) - SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN

I hereby declare that I am an official empowered to act on behalf of the small business concern identified below:

NAME OF CONCERN:

MAGMA DESIGN AUTOMATION, INC.

ADDRESS OF CONCERN

2 Results Way, Cupertino, California 95014

I hereby declare that the above identified small business concern qualifies as a small business concern as defined in 13 CFR 121.12, and reproduced in 37 CFR 1.9(d), for purposes of paying reduced fees under Section 41(a) and (b) of Title 35, United States Code, in that the number of employees of the concern, including those of its affiliates, does not exceed 500 persons. For purposes of this statement, (1) the number of employees of the business concern is the <u>average</u> over the previous fiscal year of the concern of the persons employed on a full-time, part-time or temporary basis during each of the pay periods of the fiscal year, and (2) concerns are affiliates of each other when either, directly or indirectly, one concern controls or has the power to control the other, or a third party or parties controls or has the power to control both.

I hereby declare that rights under contract or law have been conveyed to and remain with the small business concern identified above with regard to the invention entitled as above and invented by Van Ginneken et al. described in the specification filed as above stated.

If the rights held by the above identified small business concern are not exclusive, each <u>small entity</u> individual, concern or organization having rights to the invention is listed below* and no rights to the invention are held by any person, other than the inventor, who could not qualify under 37 CFR 1.9(c) as an independent inventor if that person had made the invention, or by any concern which would not qualify as a small business concern under 37 CFR 1.9(d) or a nonprofit organization under 37 CFR 1.9(e). *Note: Separate verified statements are required from each person, concern or organization having rights to the invention, averring to small entity status (37 CFR 1.27).

FULL NAME of		
	[] INDIVIDUAL[] SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN	[] NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION

· I acknowledge the duty to file, in this case, notification of any change in status resulting in loss of entitlement to small entity status prior to paying, or at the time of paying, the earliest of the issue fee or any maintenance fee due after the date on which status as a small entity is no longer appropriate. (37 CFR 1.28(b))

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this verified statement is directed.

NAME OF SIGNATORY,

TITLE:

ADDRESS:

Rajeev Madhavan President and CEO

2 Results Way

Cubertino, CA 95014

SIGNATURE

DATE 1/12/99



Inventor's Name:

Residence (City, State):

Post Office Address:

Wilhelmus J.M. Philipsen

4337 East Sunrise Drive Phoenix, AZ 85044

Phoenix, Arizona



RULE 63 (37 C.F.R. 1.63) DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and I believe I am an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled **Method for Storing Multiple Levels of Design Data in a Common Database**, the specification of which was filed in the U.S. Patent Office on April 27, 1999 under Serial No. 09/300,540..

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. 1.56. I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119/365 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate filed by me or my assignee disclosing the subject matter claimed in this application and having a filing date (1) before that of the application on which priority is claimed, or (2) if no priority claimed, before the filing date of this application:

PRIOR FOREIGN APPL Number C	LICATION(S): ountry	Day/MONTH/Year Filed	Date first Laid- open or Published		Date Pater or Granted		Priority Claimed	
•							Yes _	_ No
or below and, if this is a prior applications, I ack	continuation-in-p	nder 35 U.S.C. 119/120/365 of part (CIP) application, insofar a ty to disclose all information application and the national or application and the national or application.	is the subjection	ct matter disclosed ne to be material t	and claimed in this ap o patentability as defi	pplication is in addition to	that disclo	sed in such
	NAL, NONPROV ay/MONTH/Year	VISIONAL AND/OR PCT APP Filed:	LICATION		Status bandoned, patented)		Priority C	laimed?
							Yes _	No
these statements were m	ade with the kno	nerein of my own knowledge ar wledge that willful false staten t such willful false statements n	ents and the	he like so made are	e punishable by fine of	r imprisonment, or both,		
4790 (to whom all compaphication and to transact longer with their firm an	munications are to ct all business in to d to act and rely	to Sutro LLP, 1100 New York A to be directed), and the below- the Patent and Trademark Offic on instructions from and com closure to be represented unless	named per e connected municate d	sons (of the same d therewith and with lirectly with the pe	address) individually h the resulting patent, rson/assignee who fir	and collectively my attor and I hereby authorize the st sent this case to them a	neys to pro m to delete nd by who	osecute this persons no
Paul N. Kokulis Raymond F. Lippitt G. Lloyd Knight Carl G. Love Edgar H. Martin Kevin E. Joyce Edward M. Prince George M. Sirilla	16773 17519 17698 18781 20534 20508 22429 18221	Donald J. Bird Peter W. Gowdey Dale S. Lazar Glenn J. Perry Kendrew H. Colton Paul E. White, Jr. G. Paul Edgell Lynn E. Eccleston	25323 25872 28872 28458 30368 32011 24238 35861	David A. Jakopir Mark G. Paulson Timothy J. Klima Stephen C. Glazi Paul F. McQuade Ruth N. Morducl Richard H. Zaitle Roger R. Wise	30793 a 34852 er 31361 e 31542 a 31044	Jay M. Finkelstein Anita M. Kirkpatrick Michael R. Dzwonczyk W. Patrick Bengtsson Jack S. Barufka Adam R. Hess	32 36 32 37	082 2617 5787 2456 7087 835
1. INVENTOR'S SIGNA Inventor's Name Residence (City, State): Post Office Address:	Lukas P.P.P. V San Jose, Cali 4792 Country San Jose, CA	fornia// Lane/		•	· 	Date 6/2 Country of Citizenshi	p: The Net	<i>-</i>
2. INVENTOR'S SIGNA Inventor's Name: Residence (City, State):	ATURE: Patrick R. Gre San Jose, Cali	(/				Date 7/6/9 Country of Citizenshi	p: The Net	therlands
Post Office Address: 2. INVENTOR'S SIGNA	San Jose, CA	Avenue, Apt. 112 95129				Date 7/12/	99	

Country of Citizenship: The Netherlands

(a)... Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the [Patent and Trademark] Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability...(b) information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative and (1) It also establishes by itself, or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim or (2) refers, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

PATENT LAWS 35 U.S.C.

§102. Conditions for patentability; novelty and loss of right to patent

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless--

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent or
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of the application for patent in the United States, or
- (c) he has abandoned the invention, or
- (d) the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country on an application for patent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months* before the filing of the application in the United States, or
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or
- (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented, or
- (g) before the applicant's invention thereof the invention was made in this country by another who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining priority of invention there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.

§103. Condition for patentability; non-obvious subject matter

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Subject matter developed by another person, which qualified as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

^{*} Six months for Design Applications (35 U.S.C. 172).